



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/686,521 | 10/16/2003 | Hyun-kwon Chung | 1793.1077 | 4904 |
| 49455 7590 01/30/2009 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | EXAMINER PRICE, NATHAN E | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2194 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 01/30/2009 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/686,521 | Applicant(s) CHUNG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner NATHAN PRICE | Art Unit 2194 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Randall S. Svihla. (3) LI B. ZHEN.
 (2) Nathan E. Price. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 19, 23 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sullivan and Kanazawa.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's response regarding the prior art rejection is persuasive. Amendment to claim 19 to recite an "electronic component" overcomes the 35 USC 101 rejection but raises objection to the specification. Applicant's representative agreed to amend the specification to provide antecedent basis for the term. Further consideration of Kanazawa will be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Li B. Zhen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194